

PLANNING
PROJECT MANAGEMENT
ENGINEERING
CERTIFICATION

# **CLAUSE 4.6 VARIATION TO BUILDING HEIGHT**

Old Bar Public School 22 David Street, Old Bar

August 2017

Our Ref: CC160173



#### SYDNEY

Suite 603, Level 6, 12 Century Circuit Norwest Business Park NSW 2153 P (02) 9659 0005 F (02) 9659 0006 E sydney@barkerryanstewart.com.au Studio 5, 78 York Street
East Gosford NSW 2250
P (02) 4325 5255
E coast@barkerryanstewart.com.au

Unit 1, 17 Babilla Close Beresfield NSW 2322 P (02) 4966 8388 F (02) 4966 1399 E hunter@barkerryanstewart.com.au Old Bar Public School CC160171

# Objection to a Development Standard – Height of Building

Location of Property - The site encompasses the following four (4) lots in Old Bar:

- Lot 204, DP 753149
- Lot 222. DP 753149
- Lot 239, DP 753149
- Lot 274, DP 753149

**Proposed Development** – The application seeks development consent for alterations and additions to Old Bar public school including associated landscaping.

#### Development Standard to which the Objection Relates

Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings within Greater Taree Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2010.

### What are the Objectives of the Development Standard?

The objectives of Clause 4.3 relating to building height are as follows:

- (a) to ensure that the height of a building is appropriate for the site,
- (b) to ensure that the height of a building complements the streetscape or rural character of the area in which the building is constructed.

## State the Numeric Value of the Development Standard

The height of building map within Greater Taree LEP 2010 identifies maximum building height of 8.5m for the site.

### State the Proposed Numeric Value of the Development

The proposed development has a maximum height of 10.4m

## State the Numeric Variation of the Proposed Development to the Development Standard

The numeric variation of the proposed development to the development standard is 1.9m or 22.4%.

## Reason for the Proposed Variation

The architectural design of the building includes a sloped roof away from the street frontage.

# Reason why Strict Compliance is Unreasonable or Unnecessary in this Instance.

Strict compliance is unnecessary and sufficient planning justification is provided to support the variation, including:

- The street frontage meets the height limit at only 8.4m;
- The building will not overshadowing impacts of the playground (during school hours) or public or private spaces; and
- The architectural design adds to the streetscape and amenity of the school and neighbourhood.

## Sufficient Environmental Planning grounds to Justify Contravention of Development Standard

As shown in the information above, there is adequate planning rationalisation provided to justify the variation, including:

- There are no adverse impacts of the proposal on the school, streetscape or amenity of the area;
- The height of the building will present an architectural element to the school;
- The height will reduce the hard stand footprint of the building; and
- It is an important expansion to an important piece of public infrastructure.

#### Is the Development and the Proposed Variation in the Public Interest?

The proposed development will provide a range of social and economic benefits and is of public interest for the following reasons:

- Will future proof the school by providing additional classroom space; and
- Important to the community by expanding an essential piece of public infrastructure.